### A2 Must Number Cards

#### CI – A debater may read the author name and date for an author for cards from the same author instead of numbering if they flash a speech doc to their opponent pre-speech with every card they’re going to read. To clarify, I can say “Jones 10” as many times as I want

#### Reading the date is better – encourages comparison of the date a card was written, which is key to recent and accurate claims. That’s an internal link to topic education since the dates of evidence are key to their truth. They’ll say that reading the date is still allowed by their interp, but not required – this provides no incentive for debater to say the date out loud since they could save time and hide the date of their evidence

#### Prefer

#### Their interp provides no way to distinguish between cards written by the same author but on different dates – for instance, Ripstein 02 vs Ripstein 05 – that’s key to academic integrity since otherwise we would represent different works as the same

#### Date comparison outweighs any flowability impact on scope – most debaters and judges don’t memorize the number of card names since debates get to messy anyways

### A2 Flowing

#### Flowing and speech doc solves – if debaters are following document, they can flow every independent card and then number it for themselves if they want

#### Labelling by number is incredibly marginal as an impact – most debaters label arguments by their content, like “hindrance to a hindrance,” or “econ DA.” You can also label arguments by the number of the card on that paper, like “DA card-1,” “DA card-2”